Serving as the guest editor for this Activism edition of Peace Chronicle is an honor, a distinct pleasure, and a catharsis. Although I have been teaching for a quarter century, my role and my self-assessment of my responsibility as an educator have evolved. Preparing this issue has provided the opportunity to reflect on those who have served as mentors and inspirations in my evolution – Betty Reardon, David Gilbert, Jalil Muntaqim, Stephen Gordon, Leslie Pickering – and to celebrate the community of activism that I am fortunate to be a part of in Western New York.

The articles included within represent a variety of perspectives, topical areas, and efforts that have shaped our community and our collective identity. Niagara University, located less than five miles from the majestic Niagara Falls, will host the 2024 Peace and Justice Studies Association annual conference, and the collection of articles in this issue will hopefully serve as an introduction to our region and many of the people who serve critical roles in promoting justice and peace within Western New York.

At the conference you will meet many of the authors and have the opportunity to learn more about their experiences, their advocacy, their research, and their work. I am certain that you will find their stories compelling and inspirational; as they have motivated and taught me how to be an activist and to embrace my responsibility as an educator and advocate.

It is only recently that I identify as an activist. In the past I resisted advocacy as a necessary part of teaching, and of my responsibility as an educator.
In my teaching over a quarter century I started with a concentration in International Relations and political science, and have moved over time toward social movements and peace and justice studies with classes that include topics such as Organizing and Advocating for Justice. For me the common theme in my teaching is not the content or the subject matter, but rather the emphasis on developing critical thinking skills and encouraging students to become increasingly discerning in their consumption of information. I want and expect students to be disciplined in their thinking, to learn how to actively and skillfully conceptualize, analyze, synthesize, and evaluate information that is gathered from, or generated by, observation, experience, reflection, reasoning, and communication.

This in turn should serve as a guide to belief and action – two distinct and important aspects of learning that we tend to treat very differently in higher education. Belief and action.

In my career I have given primacy in my teaching to belief at the expense of action. I have encouraged students to learn to think, but have only recently begun to encourage students to learn to act. And yet I recognize now that both are essential aspects of critical thinking. Critical thinking is based on universal intellectual values that transcend subject matter: clarity, accuracy, precision, consistency, relevance, sound evidence, good reasons, depth, breadth, and fairness.

But to understand good reasons, fairness, and how to draw sound conclusions also presupposes a responsibility to act. If we understand that the development of the intellect is for the purpose of guiding behavior, then teachers also have an obligation to cultivate that behavior in constructive and positive ways that reinforce our intellectual values and transform them into action for the common good – to take on and embrace responsibility and obligation.

However, as academics and scholars we are trained to be impartial and objective, to subordinate our feelings and emotions in favor of statistics and data. And it is impressed upon us and reinforced that experience and living and sensing are to be repressed and replaced with Western-focused disciplined and unbiased scientifically designed inquiry. To engage and to participate and to advocate is to introduce bias and to taint pure science with opinion.

Within the world of pure scholarship we are trained to observe from an ivory tower and to remove ourselves from the findings and their consequences. It does not matter what we know to be true – a good scholar does not think that way; we must be able to prove and document and explain in order for knowledge to have value. And if we insert
ourselves into the experience, we cannot be impartial and we cannot have confidence in our analyses.

So we build a wall between our lives as academics and the real world. We convince ourselves that there is an importance to our work that exceeds our role as individuals, that is more important than our personal beliefs. We claim that it is not our job to advocate but rather to provide good information and let others decide how to act upon it. This is what we are trained to do, and what is rewarded within the academy in the form of funding and grants, promotion and tenure, and publication of our ideas.

And we pass this impartiality down through our teaching to our students. We encourage them to look at the world through unbiased and non-judgmental eyes. We tell them that the university and the college are spaces where knowledge is shared and disseminated, but not necessarily where that knowledge is acted upon. Action is for the real world and the university is altogether separate from that world – a place where we can refine our knowledge and skills without consequence.

If I have learned anything during twenty-five years of teaching, I have learned that no teaching is or ever can be impartial. It must be, and always is, situated in a cultural context, an historical flow, an economic condition. Teaching must be toward something; it must take a stand; it is either for or against.

When we choose topics to discuss in class, when we agree to a curriculum, when we present theories and hypotheses, we are making choices of what to share with students. Their understanding of the world, their perspective on critical debates, their knowledge of the key facts, will be determined in part by what we as teachers choose to put in front of them and the way that we approach that information and discussion. In other words, we are providing our support for or recommendation of a particular way of thinking, of the data students should consider, and the framing of that information. We are advocating.

If we choose to include information on Columbus’ discovery of America in our classes we are advocating to students that this information is worthy of their consideration. If we introduce that there are skeptics of human-induced climate change and that this skepticism is valid as one of many reasonable conclusions to draw from scientific knowledge that exists, we allow students to build their beliefs around this. If we accept in our teaching, either actively or passively, that nature exists to serve humans, we reinforce those ideas in the minds of our students. If our teaching includes the underlying assumption that rights are bestowed only
upon humans and not upon other-than-
human modes of being, we miss the
opportunity to think critically about how our
beliefs guide our behavior. And in turn we
advocate for what exists, not for what is
possible.

I have, more recently, made a commitment
in my teaching to embrace advocacy, and to
unabashedly and wholeheartedly attempt to
teach for justice.

What does this mean?

- Engaging students in a quest to identify
  obstacles to their full humanity, obstacles
to their freedom, obstacles to their
  responsibility and obligations to all
  beings, obstacles to their ability to
  advocate for others, and then to drive
  against those obstacles

- The fundamental message of teaching for
  justice is: You can change the world.

What I try to be for is an awareness, a
consciousness, an ability to critically analyze
that makes injustice unendurable. What I am
against is exploitation and domination, in all
forms.

So, embedded in teaching for justice is
advocacy. It is the intersection of belief and
action that comprises critical thinking.

College campuses are expected to be safe
spaces for imagining how to transform
society, and at the same time a sanctuary
from the worst of society. Often,
administrators and faculty aspire to make
college campuses a place in which profound
social cleavages -- racial, partisan, economic --
exist only as abstract issues that we can have
a “common good conversation” about, rather
than as real conflicts that can and should be
confronted.

If we are creating safe spaces, it should be for
the exploration of ideas and tactics to
advance justice. It is more important that we
create a space on our campuses where
students can experiment and learn to stop
exploitation and domination in the world
than that we create equal space for ideas that
objectify, marginalize, disparage, and repress
the least advantaged within our society.

Students need to be able to learn through
trial and error how to respond to oppression—
and what better place than a college
campus? We need to prioritize justice over
the “right” of oppressive ideas and structures
to persist.

As Thomas Berry observes, “Of the institutions
that should be guiding us into a viable future,
the university has a special place because it
leaches all those professions that control the
human endeavor. In recent centuries the
universities have supported an exploitation of
the Earth by their teaching... Our educational
institutions need to see their purpose not as
training personnel for exploiting the Earth but
as guiding students toward an intimate
relationship with the Earth. For it is the planet itself that brings us into being, sustains us in life, and delights us with its wonders. In this context we might consider the intellectual, political, and economic orientations that will enable us to fulfill the historical assignment before us – to establish a more viable way into the future” (The Great Work, 1999, x).

If the academy, the college, the university, is to be transformed, it cannot lose the commitment to critical thinking. This has always been and should always remain at the core. But what must be transformed is what critical thinking means. It cannot be limited to belief, but must guide action.

If there is to be a revolution in our social values – one that recognizes the intrinsic value of all other-than-human modes of people and that is explicit in human responsibility to protect all people – it must begin through education. We must change our beliefs by integrating the knowledge that is apparent all around us and then using this knowledge to guide our action.

Recognizing the failures of our education systems of the past involves committing to advocacy in our teaching that is based on critical thinking. We must decolonize education and learn from Indigenous populations the world over who understand and appreciate what Thomas Berry calls “the spontaneities found in every form of existence in the natural world, spontaneities that we associate with the wild – that which is uncontrolled by human dominance. We misconceive our role if we consider that our historical mission is to ‘civilize’ or to ‘domesticate’ the planet, as though wildness is something destructive rather than the ultimate creative modality of any form of earthly being. We are not here to control. We are here to become integral with the larger Earth community.” (48)

So let’s learn to change our values and to embrace revolutionary beliefs. And let’s learn to act.